

TOWARDS SAFER WORK ZONES

A CONSTRUCTIVE VISION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SAFETY EQUIPMENT FOR WORK ZONES DEPLOYED ON **TEN-T** ROADS





Contents

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2 A CHALLENGE TO IMPROVE SAFETY IN THE EU ROAD WORK ZONES	4
2.1 BACKGROUND	4
2.2 SOURCES AND RELATED PROJECTS	5
2.3 SCOPE	6
2.4 METHODOLOGY	7
3 SURVEY OF CURRENT PRACTICES FOR WORK ZONE EQUIPMENT	8
3.1 APPROACH AREA: FRANCE	8
3.2 ACTIVITY AREA: SPAIN	9
3.3 TERMINATION AREA: BELGIUM	
4 FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS	11
5 REVIEW PER TYPE OF EQUIPMENT	
5.1 RESTRAINT SYSTEMS	
5.2 DELINEATORS	14
5.3 WARNING LIGHTS	15
5.4 VERTICAL SIGNS	16
5.5 TEMPORARY MARKINGS	
5.6 OTHER EQUIPMENT	
5.7 INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS	
6 RISK ASSESSMENT	21
7 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MINIMUM PERFORMANCE	
7.1 RESTRAINT SYSTEMS	
7.2 DELINEATORS/ GUIDANCE	
7.3 WARNING LIGHTS	
7.4 VERTICAL SIGNS	
7.5 TEMPORARY MARKINGS	
7.6 OTHER EQUIPMENT	
7.7 INTELLIGENT TRANSPORT SOLUTIONS (ITS)	
8 APPENDIX 1: RISK ASSESSMENT METHOD	

1. Executive Summary

The European Union Road Federation created in 2011 a dedicated Working Group to carry out a focused research on national guidelines, legislations and cases regarding equipment deployed in road work zones, in order to detect best practices, identify improvements and produce performance guidelines adapted to the state of the art.

The Working Group's first achievement has been the collection of detailed information regarding national legislation, signs, markings and other infrastructure elements used in work zones in various member states, e.g. Spain, Italy, France, Austria, Germany and Belgium.

Focused on the TEN-T road network and surveying the approach, activity and termination areas in mobile, short and long term work zones, current practices in member states and the equipment used throughout various European countries were collected and examined.

The most commonly used equipment was selected and appropriately analysed from which different types of functionality were derived, primarily information, guidance and protection.

Consequently a risk assessment was carried out by a panel of experts to verify whether the functionalities effectively contributed to the safety objectives.

Finally the Work Zone Safety Project could set forward minimum performance levels for these equipment to enable them to be effective in their own objectives regarding functionality and safety.





2. A challenge to improve safety in the EU road work zones

2.1 Background

Road maintenance activities are integral part of improving road safety conditions. Given that the majority of the current road network was built in the 1960s and being also expected to increase demands (especially from cross-border journeys) in coming years, it seems unavoidable that, in the immediate future, maintenance and upgrade works on the road network will occur on a higher frequency than in the past.

As traffic becomes more and more transnational, road users would need to benefit from transparent and harmonized measures for work zones. Nowadays, existing national regulations or guidelines can differ from one country to another. In this context, a constructive European dialogue between national authorities could have a key role to play in specifying uniform safety requirements, which would also contribute to diminishing high human, economic and social costs of accidents and also delays as consequence of road works.

Despite the overall progress being made in the area of road safety, road works remain particularly hazardous zones, as they represent an unexpected change to the usual driving environment. Drivers themselves do not regard road works as a danger. They assume to take sufficient precautions, choose the right speed, decelerate at the right moment and keep the proper safety distance from other vehicles. However, because of their actions and wrong perceptions, they put themselves, other road users and road workers in jeopardy.

Given that efficient, safe and sustainable mobility between member states is a contributing element of the Single Market, a greater harmonisation of safety requirements in road works could have a positive impact to change the situation.

In addition improving safety in work zones is becoming a major concern to road authorities and safety stakeholders. At EU level, the European Parliament, voted a resolution of 27 September 2011 on European road safety 2011-2020 which highlights work zone safety (article 59) as an action area and **«calls on the Commission to ensure that roadwork sites are made safer through guidelines for designing and equipping sites, which should be standardised, as far as possible, at the European level, so that motorists are not faced with new, unfamiliar circumstances in each country».¹**



2.2 Sources and related Projects

Past and present EU Projects have already treated various aspects of road work zones.

Foremost, the Advanced Research on ROad Work zone Safety standards in Europe (**ARROWS**) project² aimed at developing a unified range of road work zone safety measures and principles that should govern the planning, design, implementation and operation of road work zones. The major output by the consortium was the elaboration of a consolidated Practical Handbook on 'Road Work Zone Safety' comprising among others guidance on the layout of road work zones with respect to traffic control, information and warning equipment, guiding and protective elements on the road, and safety equipment for workers.

A framework for the road work signaling is already provided by the Vienna Convention (Chapter 5, Article 35). In addition, more recent projects have covered the influence of road works layout on road user safety, benchmarking of roadwork safety, speed management in road work zones and harmonising work zone design.

More recently, the *Scoring Traffic at Roadworks* (**STARs**) project³ aimed at optimizing network availability, road worker as well user safety during roadworks. Concretely, its main contribution has been the development of a methodology to score roadworks schemes and also the elaboration of a practical tool to be used by contractors and contracting authorities in planning and assessing roadworks.

To be completed by 2015, there are two other projects funded under the CEDR Transnational Road Research Programme: BROWSER and ASAP.

The Baselining Road Works Safety on European Roads (**BRoWSER** project)⁴ addresses the issue of the safety of road workers and interaction with road users. The research seeks to significantly reduce risks to road workers with an objective of Zero Harm. The data collected by the consortium will enable national road authorities to ensure an effective safety management in work areas reducing real risks for workers. In addition, the project will elaborate a list of recommendations for harmonizing work zones layouts across Europe.

Latest project dealing with the issue is the **ASAP** Project (Speed Management in Work Zones) focused on both the road user and road worker. The consortium has gathered knowledge on effective speed management measures for road works zones through literature review, information from national expertise and practitioners, on-going research in Europe and abroad, and stakeholder consultations. The main objective of the project will be to provide practical recommendations to effectively manage speed through road work zones in terms of: engineering design and conspicuity of road works, enforcement and driver education/information.

In complement to the previously cited projects on work zones, the current ERF Position Paper **Towards Safer Work Zones** focuses on the performance of the safety equipment used for securing road work zones (i.e. restraint systems, delineators, warning lights, vertical signs, temporary markings and other equipment). The completion of the document has been possible with all the data collected and information provided by experts from various member states, namely France, Germany, Spain, Italy, Belgium, Sweden, Finland, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, CZ, Slovakia, Ireland, Portugal and Greece.

² ARROWS (1998): http://cordis.europa.eu/project/rcn/34458_en.html

³ STARS (2013): http://www.cedr.fr/home/fileadmin/user_upload/en/Thematic_Domains/Strat_plan_3_2013-2017/TD1_Innovation/I1_Research/TGR_ TPM/Transnational_calls/2011_Call_Mobility-Design-Energy/02_ENR%20Call%202011%20-%20Design/STARs/00_enr2011%20design%20project%20 description%20stars.pdf

⁴ BRoWSER (2015): http://www.cedr.fr/home/fileadmin/user_upload/en/Thematic_Domains/Strat_plan_3_2013-2017/TD1_Innovation/I1_Research/ TGR_TPM/Transnational_calls/CEDR_Call_2012/CEDR%20Call%202012%20Safety/BROWSER/cedr%20call2012_safety_browser.pdf



2.3 Scope

The scope of the project has been delimited to the following boundaries:

Frame

	Work zone fully installed and road works operational (Arrows Phase 4 – Operation) ⁵
Placement of work zone	Uninterrupted road stretch (i.e. no exits, entries, crossroads,)

Road works type

Туре	Definition
Mobile	Continuously moving works, eventually with intermittent stops. Repair of potholes, markings
Short term	Stationary works of limited extent and duration. Replacement of damaged RRS
Long term	Stationary works over longer periods with comprehensive logistic aspects (work vehicle traffic), mostly carrying extensive messaging and special measures to maintain traffic flow. Pavement renovation Remark: for very long term road works (several months) special arrangements could be applicable

Road works area

Area	Definition	- 9 9
Termination area	The stretch past the work zone where normal carriageway and traffic conditions are being restored	Ø I I I Ø Brun sre I J J Terme
Activity area	The actual work zone, characterized by presence of work force and stabilised altered carriageway conditions	
Approach area	The zone starting at the first advance warning and where carriageway and traffic conditions are being altered (ex.: speed reduction, lane changes). Ends at the Activity area	іан ////////////////////////////////
		1 1 査 ① 1 ① Announc
		raf

Road types

Туре	Definition
Motorways	High volume, high speed, multi lane carriageways
Highways	(Arrows type A)
Dual carriageway roads	
Arrows typology	 Type A includes motorways and dual carriageway expressways. Type B includes rural primary roads - which are typically singlecarriageway roads, often expressways and functionally important at a national and/or international level. Type C includes rural secondary roads, i.e. rural roads that are functionally less important than type B. Type D includes urban main roads - which are typically multi-lane facilities (often arterials) with high volumes and a diverse traffic mix (which can include pedestrians, two-wheelers, and/or public transport vehicles). Type E includes urban local roads, serving low traffic volumes

2.4 Methodology

The process started when the ERF Working Group launched a survey to targeted member states requesting different types of safety equipment deployed in work zones areas (\rightarrow 3 Survey of current practices for work zone equipment).

The survey should then identify which type of equipment was commonly used in most of the EU countries. Traffic elements could consequently be categorized according to their objectives (\rightarrow *4 Functional analysis*): e.g. restraint systems, delineators, warning lights, vertical signs, temporary markings, etc.

Following step in the process was the analysis of the requirement of each country targeted for every element (\rightarrow *Section 5 Review per type of equipment*) having an initial clear picture in Europe to be completed by a subsequent risk analysis (\rightarrow *6 Risk assessment*) verifying if these equipment do correspond with relevant safety functions: e.g. information, guidance and protection.

Once risk assessment has been completed and validated, the document will present a set of recommendations to ensure a minimum performance level in terms of safety for those elements following from the analysis completed by technical experts (\rightarrow 7 *Recommendations for minimum performance*).

Consequently, a minimum level of performance would be required to enable the adequate fulfilment of a safety function based on specific elements such as:

- ▲ Collective expertise
- ▲ Practices in member states
- ▲ State of the art
- ▲ Feasibility
- ▲ Market acceptability
- ▲ Best practices



3. Survey of current practices for work zone equipment

National Road Authorities have established their own rules, regulations and specifications at national or regional level regarding road works. The ERF Working Group has collected the relevant elements from various member states and summarised them graphically. Accordingly, the matrixes below represent practical examples in France, Spain and Belgium of road infrastructure elements deployed in the three types of work zones as well in various types of roads.

3.1 Approach area: France



⁶ http://catalogue.setra.fr/document.xsp?id=Dtrf-0002340&qid=sdx_q0&n=43&q

⁶ http://catalogue.setra.fr/document.xsp?id=Dtrf-0003051&qid=sdx_q0&n=1&q

3.2 Activity area: Spain



⁷ https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2015/01/03/pdfs/BOE-A-2015-48.pdf

http://www.fomento.gob.es/NR/rdonlyres/9948A902-2B36-4604-83A9-ECD47C4B2024/55751/1130600.pdf



3.3 Termination area: Belgium

					TE	RMINAT	ION ARE	A				
	MOBILE WORK ZONE				SHORT TERM WORK ZONE			LONG TERM WORK ZONE				
	Motor- way	Primary Roads	Secundary Roads	Local Roads	Motor- way	Primary Roads	Secundary Roads	Local Roads	Motor- way	Primary Roads	Secundary Roads	Loc Roa
gulations												
	 National Decree regarding signnalling of Road Works and obstacles on public roads (Arrêté ministériel relatif à la signalisation des chantiers et des obstacles sur la voie publique. [A.R. 21.05.1999])⁹ 											
Jula									ue. [A.R. 21.0)5.1999]) ⁹		
Regula									ue. [A.R. 21.0)5.1999]) ⁹		
Regula									ue. [A.R. 21.0)5.1999]) ⁹		
Re												
Signs Regula								roie publiqu	Je. [A.R. 21.0 SIGNA RESPOI 0499/11	LLING NSIBLE		

⁹ http://www.code-de-la-route.be/textes-legaux/sections/am/am-070599-werken

4. Functional analysis

National regulations present common elements as several types of equipment are deployed on a regular base and applied in typical configurations in work zones:

Туре	Configurations
Barriers, fences, beacons, arrow boards, chevrons	 Lane separation Lateral closure Lane diversion Buffer zone Safety corridor Arrays
Warning lights	 Stand-alone Fixed on other equipment Light arrow Repeated
Vertical signs	Stand-alone VMS Repeated
Road markings	Lane diversion Lane narrowing

Consequently following functions can be derived:

Getting the attention, giving information and commanding:

- 1. Attract driver's attention (i.e. with warning lamps)
- 2. Telling what lies ahead (i.e. "left lane closed")
- 3. What must be done (i.e. "merge right")

Physical and visual guidance and separation



• Influencing the pace, mostly speed regulation







Protection



Allowing these functions, the road infrastructure equipment has been categorized in seven groups:

		Function							
		Warning, information, commands	Guidance, separation	Speed control	Protection				
	Restraint systems								
a 1	Delineators								
ttype	Warning lights								
Equipment type	Vertical signs								
quip	Temporary markings								
ŭ	Other equipment								
	Innovative solutions								

5. Review per type of equipment

This section illustrates the various types of road equipment commonly used in the member states to ensure safety in work zones. 10

5.1. Restraint Systems

	Equipment: Restraint sys	tems
Definition	This type of traffic equipment serves primarily accidents involving vehicles running off the roa function of guiding the traffic, as well defining (closure) preventing the entrance of vehicles.	adway. At the same time, it also fulfils the
Function	 Protection Establish transverse and longitudinal closures Guidance Channelize traffic 	
Solutions	<image/>	



Example:											
	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile				
	Approach		Containment level	T2 or higher, TBS in TD							
	Roadside	and	EN 1317-2	Materials	TBS in TD						
	barrier	Activity	uniu.				anta	Working width	TBS in TD		
EN N					ASI	TBS in TD	N	A			
SWEDEN			TD	Anchorage	TBS in TD						
S	Impact attenuator		EN 1317-3								
	TMA	Approach	NCHRP 350	Test level	NA	NA	TL3				

Normative references	Normative References EN 1317-2: Road restraint systems - Part 2: Safety barriers EN 1317-3: Road restraint systems - Part 3: Crash cushions NCHRP 350: NCHRP Report 350 - Recommended Procedures for the Safety
	Performance Evaluation of Highway Features

5.2. Delineators

	Equipment: Delineators
Definition	This type of traffic equipment aims at establishing visual transverse and longitudinal closures, to guide and funnel/channelize the traffic, and/or to create a visual and physical separation either from opposite-way lanes or the working area.
Function	Warning Guidance Creation of physical separation
Solutions	Image: Sector

Examp	ole:							
	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile	
	Fixed delineators Portable	delineators Approach Activity	SFS-EN	Visual performance (posts)	Reflective part	t minimum 2/3 d	of total height	
QN			12899-3	Visual performance (reflectors)	Daylight film			
FINLAND			SFS-EN 13422	Height	1000) mm	NA	
Ē	deformable			Width	180	mm	NA	
	delineators			Retroreflection R	R	2	NA	
			SFS-EN 13422	Height			500-750 mm	
	Cones			Weight	NA		1,9 – 7,5 kg	
			13 122	Retroreflection R			R2	

	EN 12899-3: Fixed, vertical road traffic signs - Part 3: Delineator posts and
Normative references	retroreflectors EN 13422: Vertical road signs - Portable deformable warning devices and
	delineators - Portable road traffic signs - Cones and cylinders

5.3. Warnings Lights

	Equipment: Warning lights								
Definition	These devices are used to draw attention and warn drivers about the presence of a disruption to the usual road conditions.								
Function	• Warning • Guidance								
Solutions	<image/>								
	Warning light configurations on work zone equipment								



Examp		Aven	Ctow dowd	Cue cife cation	I an a Tana		Mobile
	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
	Lights on	Ammraach		Colour	yellow	yellow	NA
<u>v</u>	delineators and RRS	Approach Activity	EN 12352			L8H	
JBL	Lights on	Approach		Colour	yellow	yellow	NA
REPUBLIC	vertical signs	Activity				L8H	
CZECH	Lights in light	Approach	h EN 12352	Colour	yellow	yellow	NA
Ū	arrows	Approach			L8H		
	Flash Lights	Approach	EN 12352	Colour	yellow	yellow	NA
	Flash Lights	Activity				L9H	
Norm	Normative references EN 12352: Traffic control equipment - Warning and safety light devices						

5.4. Vertical signs

	Equipment: Vertical Signs							
Definition	These signs are used to warn and inform the drivers about the presence of a work zone area as well as about its effects on their route choice, lane choice, speed and other parameters of their behaviour.							
Function	Regulatory (e.g. speed limit) Warning (e.g. works ahead)							
Solutions	<image/>							



Example:

	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
	Traffic Signs	Approach Activity Termination		Retroreflectivity	Type 3		
			EN 12899-1	Resistance to loads	NR ¹¹		
Σ				Materials	Steel and aluminium		
BELGIUM	Variable Message Signs	Message Activity	EN 12966	Colours	C2, white or yellow		
BEI				Luminance L	L3		
				Luminance ratio R	R2		
				Beam width		B3	
		Termination			NA		

Normative references	EN 12899-1: Fixed, vertical road traffic signs - Part 1: Fixed signs
Normative references	EN 12966: Road vertical signs - Variable message traffic signs

 $^{\rm 11}\,$ Belgian Road Authorities apply identical requirements both for temporary and permanent signs



5.5. Temporary Markings

	Equipment: Temporary Markings
Definition	Traffic element to delineate vehicle paths other than the lanes normally used. Temporary markings are commonly used to create deviations and relocations, eventually narrowing normal road lanes guiding traffic flow
Function	Warning Guidance Creation of physical separation
Solutions	<image/>

Example:

	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
			STN 01 8020 EN 1436	Colour (W, Y1, Y2)	Orange EN 1436		
STN 01 8020 EN 1436 Width STN 01 8020 EN 1436 Luminance Qd, β				Width	125 mm		
	Q1, B1						
SLOVAKIA	Temporary		STN 01 8020 EN 1436	Retroreflectivity RL	R3		NA
SLO	markings	Termination	STN 01 8020 EN 1436	Retroreflectivity RW, RR	RW1	, RR1	_
			STN 01 8020 EN 1436	Durability	6 mc	onths	
			TD	Material	Preformed tape or paint		
			STN 01 8020 EN 1436	Anti-skid	S	1	

Normative references	STN 01 8020: National standard on Traffic signs on roads
Normative references	EN 1436: Road marking materials — Road marking performance for road users

5.6. Other equipment

	Equipment: Other (e.g. road studs, rumble strips)
Definition	Roads studs are traffic devices composed of one or more integrated parts which could be bonded, anchored or embedded on the road surface. Once works are finalised, temporary studs are removed with little or no damage to the existing surface. Rumble strips are placed on the roadway to produce noise and vibration once tires of the vehicle contact them.
Function	Warning Guidance
Solutions	Work Zone Road studRumble strips

Example:

	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
QN		Approach	Chapter 8 of TSM, EN 1463	Colour	Luminous Orange		
IRELAI	Road Studs	Activity Termination	and Series 1200 of NRA	Fixing	No damage to surface	NA	
			Specification	Inspections	Weekly		

	TSM: Traffic Safety Measures and Signs for Road Works and Temporary Situations
Normative references	(UK)
	Series 1200: NRA Specifications for Road Works (IE)

	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
≿			C+1/(O	Colour	NA	Yelllow	
GERMAN	Rumble strips	Approach	StVO (German Law) and RSA	Width, height	NA	200*23 *3cm	NA

	StVO: Straßenverkehrs-Ordnung (The Road Traffic Regulations)
Normative references	RSA: Richtlinien für die Sicherung von Arbeitsstellen an Straßen (Directive for
	safety of road work zones)

5.7. Innovative solutions

	Intelligent Transport Systems
Definition	Advance hardware and software that enable various possibilities to improve communica- tion with driver and hence enabling safer, more coordinated and "smarter" use of transport networks at work zones areas.
Function	Warning Guidance
Solutions	<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/>

Example:

	Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
NETHERLANDS	Information monitoring, - Jam tail distance, - Travel times , - Speed (trajectory control), - ANPR	Approach Activity	CEN TS 16157	DATEX II		TD	
Z				Colours	C	2, white or yellow	N
THE	Information		EN	Luminance L		L3	
-			12966	Luminance		R3	
	display		12900	ratio R		СЛ	
				Beam width		B4	

	CEN/TS 16157: Intelligent Transport Systems - DATEX II data exchange
Normative references	specifications for traffic management and information
	EN 12966: Road vertical signs - Variable message traffic signs



To evaluate the pertinence of the equipment usually put to work regarding safety, a risk assessment has been carried out. The exercise is made on the basis of an event most obviously to be avoided, being a road worker getting hit by a vehicle.

This may be caused by the worker entering the traffic or by the vehicle entering the work zone. Cause-to-effect diagrams are given below:



In both cases risks are evaluated following the methodology of the EN ISO 12100. This methodology is schematized in Appendix 1: RISK ASSESSMENT METHOD on page 31.

The risk assessment is then carried out and described in the Figure 3: Risk assessment table on p.22.

Ð
0
tab
-
Ľ,
P
Ē
S
essm
Ň
ass
×.
S
Ris
ä
a
5
σ
ïE

				8	isk evi	aluatio	Risk evaluation (Procter)	ter)		Sten 2	Sten 3		-	leasses	sment	Reassessment of the risk	risk	
			2	Ħ	HdQ	N	HRN	Risk level	(Protective measures)	(information for user)	(Information for user)	2	Ħ	HdQ	NP HRN	IRN	Risk level	Addressing residual risk
Causes	Causes Hazards (5.4)	Harm																
WORKER																		
Worker engaged in traffic area	Worker Worker being engaged in hit by road traffic area user vehicle	All degree of injured	œ	ц	15	2	1200	Unacceptable	Plan safety perimeter	Indication of perimeter (cones,) delineators,)	Instructions to the workers	7	Ŀ0	15	5	300	High	Instructions to the workers
									Plan work zone and traffic in separate areas	Physical separation (barriers)	Instructions to the workers	—	ъ	0	5	0	Negligible	Instructions to the workers
RUADIISER	C																	

_		,			
	Equipment performance	Equipment performance	Conspicuous speed enforcement, equipment performance	Equipment performance	ITS
	Negligible	Low significant	High	High	High
	0	40	200	2 300	300
	7	2	2		2
	0	4	4	15	15
	5	5	5	Ŋ	5
	~~	-	ŝ	2	2
		Conspicuous separations	Speed limitation signs	Conspicuous separations	Advance signs, warnings
		Separation Organise a fixed markers (beacons, cones, cliinders, delineators, barriers, fences)	Humps	Channelise Delimitation traffic (if a fixed markers (beacons, safety perimeter is delineators, not practicable) barriers, fences)	
	Plan work zone and traffic in separate areas	Organise a fixed markers (beacons affety perimeter delineators, barriers, fences)	Lower traffic speed	Channelise Delimitation traffic (if a fixed markers (beacon safety perimeter is delineators, not practicable) barriers, fences,	Organise driver awarness
	Unacceptable				
	1200				
	2				
	15				
	Ŋ				
	Ø				
	All degree of injured				
	Worker being hit by road user vehicle				
RUAU USEK	Road user vehicle ingresses work zone				

7. Recommendations for Minimum Performance

After the description of the road equipment deployed in work zones areas in the EU, as well the explanation of the risk assessment methodology, this section presents a set of minimum requirements for the reviewed safety equipment. Normative references for fixed equipment are used given that most (if not all) TC226 standards can be applied both on permanent and temporary equipment.

Recommendations are applicable to performance in use.

Scope

TEN-T: Motorways / Heavy traffic dual carriageway roads

Criteria for levels of performance

- Collective expertise
- Practices in member states
- State of the art
- Feasibility
- Market acceptability
- Best practices

Criteria for assessment of high/medium/low costs

High Additional equipment. Substantial influence on the budget for this function. It requires modification of WZ design and layout

Example: closing carriageway and constructing bypass lanes or road

Medium Noticeable influence on the budget for this function. It may require other type of equipment. No modification of WZ design and layout required.

Example: replacement of delineators by solid barrier

Low No additional equipment. Technical and budgetary upgrade of usual equipment.

Example: upgrading reflective material on signs

7.1. Restraint systems

	Best	Practice for R	estraint System	s: Roadside barrier		
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
			Containment level	H2 at diversions T3 at parallel lanes		
			Materials	NR		
Roadside barrier	Approach Activity	EN 1317-2	Working width	H2 and T3: W2 to W5 depending on the space on the installation	NA	NA
			ASI	A or B		
		TD	Anchorages	Comply with test records		
Feasibility		<u>.</u>	Hi	gh		
Cost	Low/Med	dium depending	on duration of th	e works (availability mostly or	n rental ba	sis)

	Best	Practice for Re	estraint Systems	: Impact attenuator		
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
Impact			Performance level	80/1		
attenuator	Approach	EN 1317-3	ASI	A or B	1	NA
			Anchorages	Comply with test records		
Feasibility			Hi	gh		
Cost			Mec	lium		

		Best Practice	e for Restraint S	ystems: TMA		
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
TMA	Approach	NCHRP 350	Test method	NA	Т	L3
Feasibility			Hig	gh		
Cost	Low/Med	lium depending	on duration of th	e works (availability mostly or	n rental ba	sis)

	EN 1217 2. Dead restraint sustance. Dayt 2. Cafety harriage
	EN 1317-2: Road restraint systems - Part 2: Safety barriers
	H2 and T3 account for the amount of collision energy involved. H2 are typical for roadside barriers, T3
	for lane separators.
	W indicates the deformation of the barrier under test conditions
	EN 1317-3: Road restraint systems - Part 3: Crash cushions
Normative	Performance level 80/1 requires tests with 900 and 1300 kg car at 80 km/h.
References	NCHRP 350: NCHRP Report 350 – Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of
	Highway Features.
	Level TL3 requires four tests (one with 900 kg car, three with 2000 kg car) at 100 km/h. Note: This
	American recommendation will be superseded in 2016 by a European Technical Specification TS 16786.
	ASI: Acceleration Severity Index is used to evaluate the occupant risk in full-scale crash tests involving
	roadside safety hardware. Levels A (ASI \leq 1,0) and B (1,0 < ASI \leq 1,4) are deemed acceptable.

7.2. Delineators/Guidance

Best Practice for Delineators									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
	• Cones Approach Activity Termination	EN-13422	Height	min. 750 mm					
• Cones			Weight class	W2	NA				
			Retroreflection R'	Class R2A					
Feasibility			High	١					
Cost		Medium							

Best Practice for Cones									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
Delineators	Approach		Visual						
on lane	Activity	EN 12899-3	performance	Pass		NA			
separators	Termination		(reflectors)						
Feasibility	Feasibility High								
Cost			Low						

Best Practice for Delineators									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
• Mobile		EN 1342 ¹³	Height	min. 750 mm					
delineators			Weight class	W2		NA			
• Beacons ¹²			Retroreflecion R'	Class R2A					
Feasibility			High		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Cost		Low							

Best Practice for Delineators									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
Modular	Approach	TD	Height	≥ 750 mm		NA			
Plastic Lane	Activity	TD	Width	≥ 250 mm					
Separators	Termination	EN 12899-3	Retroreflectors	Pass					
Feasibility	sibility High								
Cost	Low								

 ¹² Beacons meaning portable vertical panels (featuring sergeant stripes, chevrons, ...)
 ¹³ As a reference only. Product is not described in this standard but characteristics can apply.

	EN 12899-3 Fixed, vertical road traffic signs - Delineator posts and retroreflectors
	Reflectors type D4 (delineator posts for fixing to structures (fixed), e.g. bridges, crash barriers and
	guard rails):
Normative	Visibility characteristics: chromaticity, luminance and retroreflection
References	EN 13422 - Vertical road signs - Portable deformable warning devices and
	delineators - Portable road traffic signs - Cones and cylinders
	Weight class W2 is 4 kg when height \geq 750 mm and < 900 mm
	Retroreflection Class R2A is comparable with RA2 in EN 12899-1

7.3. Warning lights

Best Practice for Warning Lights									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
Lights on DDC			Colour		yellow C1				
Lights on RRS			Class		L6/L7				
Lights on			Colour		yellow C1				
delineators			Class		L6/L7				
Lights on	A	FN 12352	Colour	yellow C1					
vertical signs	Approach Activity		Class		L6/L7				
	Termination		Colour		yellow C1				
Lights on VMS			Class		L6/L7				
Lights in light			Colour		yellow C1				
arrow			Class	L8M	L8M (day) / L8L (night)				
			Colour		yellow C1				
Flash Lights			Class		L9H				
Feasibility			High						
Cost			Medium						

	EN 12352 Traffic control equipment - Warning and safety light devices:
Normative	Colour: according to the CIE-chromaticity diagram
References	Class: categorised by the area of light emitting surface, angle ranges and luminous intensity.
	Classes range from L1 to L9H

7.4. Vertical signs

Best Practice for Signs									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
	Approach Activity Termination	EN 12899-1	Retroreflectivity	Class 3					
			Resistance to loads	NR					
Traffic Signs			Materials	lf white, flu	orescent Yellov orescent backiı rection signs in yellow ¹²	ng board.			
Feasibility		High							
Cost	Low								

Best Practice for VMS									
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile			
		EN 12966	Colours	C2, white or yellow					
Variable	Approach Activity Termination		Luminance L	L3					
Message Signs			Luminance ratio R	R3					
			Beam width B		B4				
Feasibility			High	·					
Cost		Medium							

Normative	Vienna Convention EN 12899-1 Clause 4.2: Prismatic reflective sheeting obtain EU declaration according to European Technical Approval (ETA) EN 12966 Road vertical signs - Variable message traffic signs Class designation:						
References	Colour (C)	C1, C2 (C2 is the more restrictive) Red, orange, yellow, white, green and blue are defined.					
	Luminance (L)	L1, L2, L3 (L3 has the highest luminance)					
	Luminance ratio (R) R1, R2, R3 (R3 has the highest luminance ratio)						
	Beam width (B)	Beam width (B) B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7 (B7 has the widest beam)					

¹² Vienna Convention for Road Signs (Annex 3): http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/trans/conventn/Conv_road_signs_2006v_EN.pdf

7.5. Temporary markings

	Best Practice for Temporary Markings												
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Road Trials	Wear Simulator	Short Term	Mobile						
			Colour	Y2	Y2								
		TD	Width	150	mm								
		EN 1436	Luminance Qd, β	Q2	Q2								
	Approach Activity Termination E	EN 1436	Retroreflectivity RL	R4	R4	NA							
Temporary		EN 1436	Retroreflectivity RW	RW3	RW4								
road		EN 1436	Skid resistance	S1	S2								
markings		EN1824 or EN13197	Durability of road marking materials	T2	P6								
		EN 1790 or EN 1871	Preformed or non-preformed material depending on the phasing and nature of the works	Tested materials + Removability	Tested materials								
Feasibility			Higl	1									
Cost			Lov	/									

Normative References	 EN 1436 Road marking materials – Road Marking performance for road users Daytime visibility : Luminance Factor β or Qd Qd Class Q1 to Q3 Night-time visibility (Retroreflectivity) Dry surface : RL Class R1 to R5 : Type I (Before Rain) Wet surface : RW Class RW1 to RW6 : Type II (During Wetness After Rain) Rain conditions : RR → Class RR1 to RR6 : Type II (During Rain) Colour (x, y) : Yellow for Temporary Markings Class Y2 is intended for Temporary Markings Class Y2 is intended for Temporary Markings Skid Resistance Test (SRT) = Pendulum Test Value (PTV) Class S1 to S5 EN 1824 Road marking materials - Road trials The roll-over classes T0, T1 and T2 are intended for temporary road markings EN13197 Road marking materials – Wear simulator Turntable EN 1790 Road marking materials – Preformed road markings
	EN 1871 Road marking materials – Paints, thermoplastic and cold plastic materials Removability to be tested according to EN 1824 Annex F

7.6. Other equipment

Best Practice for Road Studs						
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
	Approach Activity Termination	EN 1463-1	Perm/Temp	Туре Т	NA	
			Height	TD		
Road Studs			Retroreflectivity	TD		
			Colour	TD		
Feasibility	ility High					
Cost			Low			

	EN 1463-1 Road marking materials - Retroreflecting road studs - Part 1: Initial performance
Normativa	requirements
Normative	1 [VDe I = [emporary road stud (self-adnesive or ponded]
References	Height: 4 classes: HO, H1, H2, H3 (H0 = no requirement)
	Retroreflectivity: 3 types: R1, R2, R3

	Best Pra	octice for Intelli	gent Transport Sy	stems (ITS)		
Equipment	Area	Standard	Specification	Long Term	Short Term	Mobile
Information Management Devices	Approach Activity	CEN TS 16157	DATEX II	TD		
		EN 12966	Colours	C2, white or yellow		
Information			Luminance L	L3		
Display Devices			Luminance ratio R	R3		
			Beam width	Β4		
Feasibility	High					
Cost	Medium					

7.7. Intelligent Transport Solutions (ITS)

Normative References	management and info Main usage areas: - road network manage - traffic management - traffic information sy - information exchang - information exchang - interoperability: multi	gement	
	Colour (C) Luminance (L)	C1, C2 (C2 is the more restrictive) Red, orange, yellow, white, green and blue are defined. L1, L2, L3 (L3 has the highest luminance)	
	Luminance ratio (R) Beam width (B)	R1, R2, R3 (R3 has the highest luminance ratio) B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7 (B7 has the widest beam)	

8. Appendix 1: RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The methodology for risk assessment and risk reduction is based on five different phases:

1 Determine the operation boundaries and include any reasonably foreseeable misbehaviour

- 2 Identify the hazards;
- 3 Estimate the risk for each identified hazard;
- 4 Eliminate the hazard or reduce the risk associated with the hazard (4 steps);
- 5 Re-evaluate the risk reduction



First step will focus on the **operation boundaries** in:

- use (only traffic related hazards / workforce, road users, emergency teams, media, authorities / awareness level of
 parties involved),
- time (before, during, after),
- space (work area, carriage way, (de)congestion area, neighbourhood) and
- other factors (local work area during short term WZ, extended work area in longer term WZ, dust, mud, rain, fog, darkness).

Next, the process will also identify the hazards to work force, road users and traffic.

The **risk estimation** will be assessed using a mathematical risk assessment method (Kinney or Procter). Here the Risk Assessment Calculator by Procter Machine Guarding has been used, based on the requirements of the European standard EN ISO 12100:2010 (Safety of machinery).¹³

LO (L	ikelihood of Occurr	ence)	FE	(Frequency of Exposure)	HRN	Risk
0,033	Almost impossible	Only in extreme circumstances	0,5		0-5	Negligible
1	Highly unlikely	Though conceivable	1	Monthly	5-50	Low, significant
1,5	Unlikely	But could occur	1,5	Weekly	50-500	High
2	Possible	But unusual	2,5	Daily	Over 500	Unacceptable
5	Even chance	Could happen	4	Hourly	HRN = LO >	(FE x DPH x NP
8	Probable	Not surprising	5	Constantly		
10	Likely	To be expected			_	
15	Certain	No doubt				
15	Certain	No doubt	J		_	
	Certain (Degree of Possible		NP	(Number of Persons at risk		
			NP 1	(Number of Persons at risk		
DPH (Degree of Possible	Harm)	<mark>NP</mark> 1 2			
DPH (0,1	Degree of Possible Scratch or bruise Laceration or mild ill	Harm)	1	1-2 persons]	
DPH (0,1	Degree of Possible Scratch or bruise Laceration or mild ill Break of minor bone	-effect	1 2	1-2 persons 3-7 persons		
DPH (0,1	Degree of Possible Scratch or bruise Laceration or mild ill Break of minor bone Break of major bone	• -effect e or minor illness (temporary)	1 2 4	1-2 persons 3-7 persons 8-15 persons		
DPH (0,1 0,5 2 4	Degree of Possible Scratch or bruise Laceration or mild ill Break of minor bone Break of major bone	Harm) -effect e or minor illness (temporary) e or major illness (temporary) e, hearing (permanent)	1 2 4 8	1-2 persons 3-7 persons 8-15 persons 16-50 persons		

Source: Pilz Guide to Machinery Safety, 6th Edition

Lookup table according to Procter

Once risk has been identified and accordingly rated, coming step will focus on its **reduction** following 4 steps:

- Step 1 "Inherently safe design measures": Design inherently safe measures to eliminate hazards or to reduce the associated risks by a suitable choice of features, meaning taking precautions in the design phase;
- Step 2 "Safeguarding and/or complementary protective measures": Taking into account the intended use and the reasonably foreseeable misuse, apply appropriately selected safeguarding and complementary protective measures;
- Step 3 "Information for use (warnings, signals)": Where residual risks remain, despite steps 1 and 2, declare these risks in the information to the user;
- Step 4"Enforcement": Install procedures to enable in-service monitoring and evaluation.

See Figure 3: Risk assessment table, page 22.

Last phase is based on the **risk evaluation**. Adequate risk reduction is achieved when (see detailed picture below):

- All operating conditions and all intervention procedures have been considered;
- · Hazards have been eliminated or risks reduced to the lowest practicable level;
- Any new hazards introduced by the protective measures have been properly addressed;
- Parties involved are sufficiently informed and warned about the residual risks;
- Protective measures are compatible with one another;
- Sufficient consideration has been given to the consequences that can arise from the nonprofessional context;
- Protective measures do not adversely affect the working conditions of the parties involved.



About the Authors

The European Union Road Federation (ERF) is a non-profit European association representing private and public entities linked to road infrastructure. It acts as a European platform for dialogue, expressing the road sector's ideas and opinions on mobility issues and promotes research into viable, efficient and sustainable transport.

The current Position Paper has been elaborated by the ERF Working Group on Road Work Zone Safety.

Special acknowledgments to:

John Kreps (Chairman) José Diez (ERF Secretariat) Rik Nuyttens (3M) Pierre Anelli (Aximum) Kris Redant (BRRC) Jan Vanpraet (Flow) Yves Decoene (RFB) Tobias Schneider (SGGT) Gauthier Michaux (SPW) Myrko Bellmann (Volkmann Rossbach)

In addition, the ERF would like to show its gratitude to all the other experts from different member states willing to provide data, information and expertise on national practices on work zones during the elaboration of the document. The ERF Paper "Towards Safer Work Zones in Europe" would not have been possible without their contributions:

Finland: Finnish Transport Agency¹⁵ Greece: Ministry of Infrastructure, Transport and Networks¹⁶ Greece: National Technical University of Athens¹⁷ Estonia: Estonian Road Administration¹⁸ Czech Republic: Ministry of Transport¹⁹ Hungary: Hungarian Transport Administration²⁰ Italy: Autostrade per l'Italia²¹ Ireland: National Roads Authority of Ireland²² Latvia: Latvian State Roads²³ Lithuania: Lithuanian Road Administration²⁴ Portugal: Instituto da Mobilidade e Dos Transportes²⁵ Slovakia: Ministry of Transport, Construction and Regional Development²⁶ Spain: Ministerio de Fomento²⁷ Spain: Asociación Española de la Carretera²⁸ Sweden: Trafikverket²⁹

¹⁵ http://portal.liikennevirasto.fi/sivu/www/e

¹⁶ http://www.yme.gr/index.php?tid=531

¹⁷ http://www.central.ntua.gr/

- 18 http://www.mnt.ee/landing_en.html
- ¹⁹ http://www.mdcr.cz/en/HomePage.htm

²⁰ http://www.kkk.gov.hu/

²¹ http://www.autostrade.it/en/home

²² http://www.nra.ie/

23 http://lvceli.lv/eng/

- ²⁴ http://www.lra.lt/en.php/about_lra/general_information/101
- ²⁵ http://www.imt-ip.pt/sites/IMTT/Portugues/Paginas/IMTHome.aspx/ English
- ²⁶ http://www.mindop.sk/index/index.php
- ²⁷ http://www.fomento.es/mfom/lang_castellano/

²⁸ http://www.aecarretera.com/en/

²⁹ http://www.trafikverket.se/



Place Stephanie, 6/B B-1050 Brussels (Belgium)

Tel. (+32) 2 644 58 77 Fax. (+32) 2 647 59 34

info@erf.be

www.erf.be